

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Г	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/613,260	07/03/2003	Hans Manhaeve	9997.69US01	4888	
	23552 7	590 09/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MERCHANT & GOULD PC		•	PATEL, PARESH H		
	P.O. BOX 290	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Ministra Calc, Min 55 102 6765			2829		
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- -							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summer.	10/613,260	MANHAEVE ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Paresh Patel	2829							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003 and 03 July 2003</u> .								
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.	☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	3) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
					3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
					application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 14-15, drawn to a device for measuring the supply current, classified in class 324, subclass 769.
- II. Claims 8-13 and 16-17, drawn to a device for measuring the supply current, classified in class 702, subclass 119.

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because of transistors and MOSFET. The subcombination has separate utility such as claimed.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,260

Art Unit: 2829

If applicant elects either one of the above inventions (i.e. invention I or II), further election is required as follows because this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A) Species of fig. 5b-5c with CMU 6 of fig. 7a or 8a.
- B) Species of Fig. 10a-10b.

If applicant elects Species A) further election is required as follows:

- A1) Species of fig. 5b with CMU 6 of fig. 7a or 8a.
- A2) Species of fig. 5c with CMU 6 of fig. 7a or 8a.

If applicant elects Species B) further election is required as follows:

- B1) Species of Fig. 10a
- B2) Species of fig. 10b

If applicant elects Species B1 or B2 further election is required as follows:

- a) current source controlled by ATE 8
- b) current source controlled by on/off-chip IDDQ monitor.

If applicant elects Species a) further election is required as follows:

Species of fig. 12a or 13a or 14a or 15a.

If applicant elects Species b) further election is required as follows:

Species of fig. 12b or 13b or 14b or 15b.

Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Gresens on 09/13/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-1968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached on 571-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paresh Patel September 13, 2004

